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Background

Preterm birth is a leading cause of perinatal mortality and morbidity, and twin pregnancies are at particular risk spontaneous preterm birth or preterm birth in the context of the complications of monochorionic placentation.\(^1\) More than half of twin pregnancies deliver before 37 weeks and approximately 15% have preterm birth prior to 34 weeks’ gestation.\(^1,5\) Adverse neonatal outcomes include neonatal death, respiratory and neurological complications, the likelihood of which are related to the gestational age at delivery, ranging from 77% in those born before 28 weeks to less than 2% at term.\(^6\)

Interventions to reduce preterm birth include progesterone, cervical pessary insertion and cervical cerclage. These interventions have been evaluated in singleton pregnancy and found to be of benefit in carefully selected cases, but the existing evidence in multiple pregnancies has so far presented disappointing results.\(^7\)–\(^10\)

There are two time points at which interventions to prevent preterm birth might be applied. Firstly, prophylactic interventions that could prevent or reduce the incidence of preterm labour might be given to all multiple pregnancies in the first trimester, or to a group identified as high risk of preterm labour by the application of a screening test such as cervical length measurement. One group known to be at extremely high risk of preterm labour are those patients who have been treated by fetoscopic laser ablation for twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome and have been found to have a short cervix after the procedure. While one observational study found a benefit of cerclage insertion where the cervix was <15mm after laser,\(^11\) another found none when they used a cervical length of <25mm as inclusion criteria.\(^12\) There have been no randomised trials investigating the role of cerclage in these pregnancies.

Secondly, ‘emergency’ or ‘rescue’ interventions could be applied to women presenting with an already dilated cervix in the second trimester. In twin pregnancies with a dilated cervix, the rate of preterm birth can be as high as 90%. The perinatal benefit of interventions that reduced this by even 10% would be significant, but there are no randomised trials investigating the role of emergency cerclage solely in multiple pregnancies.\(^13\)–\(^17\) The existing observational data together with this one small trial suggests that placement of an emergency cerclage might be associated with a longer mean cerclage-to-delivery interval, and lower rates of preterm delivery before 34 weeks and of neonatal morbidity.\(^13\)–\(^17\)

It must be acknowledged that cerclage is an intervention that in itself carries significant risks including rupture of membranes, cervical trauma, infection and preterm delivery. The existing studies are retrospective, subject to bias as observational studies and small in size. The use of emergency cerclage cannot be recommended based on the existing evidence, but warrants further investigation.

The ENCIRCLE trial has been designed to investigate the role of cervical cerclage in the prevention of perinatal morbidity and mortality in twin pregnancies at imminent risk of preterm delivery.
**Research question**

The study hypothesis is that the placement of an emergency cervical cerclage prolongs the pregnancy in:
(1) twin pregnancies with a dilated internal cervical os between 14+0 and 26+0 weeks,

and

(2) in monochorionic twin pregnancies complicated by TTTS treated by Laser surgery between 16+0 and 26+0 weeks’ gestation in whom a short cervix (<15mm) is identified.

**Study design**

ENCIRCLE is a pragmatic open-label pilot randomised controlled trial. Recruiting mothers carrying high risk twin pregnancies is challenging because of the relative scarcity of the patient population and because of the complexity of clinical cases and variation in regional protocols for the management of threatened and active preterm labour. Individual units may vary in the use and timing of steroids, magnesium sulphate, indomethacin, tocolytics (including atosiban and nifedipine), antibiotics and bed rest. We also anticipated that many mothers would have strong opinions about the use of cerclage and might not accept randomisation. ENCIRCLE will determine the feasibility of recruiting mothers in these circumstances, assess the frequency of their presentation and capture observational data on pregnancy outcomes from those patients not randomised to explore the effect of clinical and maternal factors on trial recruitment.

There are two arms to the trial. In the first group, eligible patients are 14-26 weeks pregnant with a DCDA or MCDA twin pregnancy and present with a dilated cervix <5cm dilated, intact membranes, two living fetuses with no known congenital abnormality and no signs of infection or active labour. In the second group, eligible patients are 16-26 weeks pregnant with an MCDA twin pregnancy affected by TTTS who are identified to have a short (<15mm, <5th centile) cervix after fetoscopic laser treatment of TTTS.

Eligible patients are randomised 2:1 to cerclage or control using a web-based application. Masking is impossible because of the nature of the intervention. No other element of the clinical management is required by the trial protocol and is at the managing clinicians’ discretion, but the use of any supplementary interventions (including bed rest, progesterone, antibiotics etc) is recorded.

The primary outcome is time to delivery from randomisation and the secondary outcomes include gestation at delivery, preterm birth before 28, 32 and 34 weeks gestation, birthweight, stillbirth, neonatal death, survival to discharge, days of admission in NICU, days of maternal admission for preterm labour, a composite of maternal morbidity and a composite of adverse perinatal outcomes.

**Changes to study protocol since start of trial**

In order to recruit sufficient patients, a multi-centre group of international collaborators has been established. Although the trial protocol initially specified that McDonald (low) type cervical
cerclage would be performed, we recognised that a number of our collaborating centres routinely use the Shirodkar (high) approach. Given that recent evidence suggesting little difference in perinatal outcome in singleton pregnancies between high and low vaginal cerclage\(^{(18)}\) and in keeping with other trials investigating cerclage\(^{(19)}\) we have modified the trial to allow the treating clinician to use the technique they feel is optimal for the patient.

A key concern is that recruitment to this study may be affected by strong patient preference for or against cerclage in addition to the scarcity of the target patient group. It will also be useful to establish the actual incidence of preterm delivery in these high risk groups of patients. Pregnancy outcomes for eligible patients who choose not to participate in the trial are now also collected as supplementary observational data and we therefore seek explicit consent from those women for the collection of their pregnancy outcome data.

**Key study milestones:**

Date of HRA Approval: 18th April 2017

Planned recruitment: 31 patients (20 in Group 1 and 11 in Group 2)

First patient recruited: 27th October 2017

Current recruitment (as of 26th May): 5 screened, 2 patients recruited

Planned end of recruitment: 31st December 2018

**Progress so far**

ENCIRCLE requires a large number of collaborating centres and early efforts have been directed at developing relationships with collaborators and obtaining regulatory approval for the trial at individual sites. The study set up process is well advanced at over 20 sites, with 10 open for recruitment. (see Appendix, Table 1)

To date, recruitment has been behind schedule. At the present time the primary cause seems to be that there are few eligible patients, only 5 potentially eligible patients were identified across the participating centres, of which 2 were determined to be ineligible and only 1 declined to participate. At the centre recruiting for the longest time (St George’s), 3 eligible patients have been identified over a 9 months period. Inviting additional study sites to participate is expected to address this issue and we expect to see increased recruitment going forwards.

**Planned output**

There are several planned outputs from the ENCIRCLE trial.

- Publication of the trial protocol
- Publication of trial findings
- Analysis of challenges to recruitment in studies of high-risk multiple pregnancies
- Meta-analysis of the use of emergency cerclage in high-risk twin pregnancies
The findings of this trial will be shared via presentation at appropriate conferences, peer-reviewed publications and also via the BMFMS and TAMBA websites and press release.
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Appendix. Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating Centre</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>Date opened</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St George's Hospital</td>
<td>Asma Khalil</td>
<td>10th August 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University College Hospital</td>
<td>George Attilakos</td>
<td>15th February 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leicester Royal Infirmary</td>
<td>Penelope McParland</td>
<td>27th February 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Michaels, Bristol</td>
<td>Melanie Griffin</td>
<td>23rd March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNAM, Mexico</td>
<td>Rogelio Cruz Martinez</td>
<td>6th April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal London (Barts)</td>
<td>Joseph Aquilina</td>
<td>9th April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Preston</td>
<td>Amanda Bellis</td>
<td>10th April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edith Wolfson Medical Center</td>
<td>Jakob Bar</td>
<td>4th May 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Medicine Barcelona</td>
<td>Eduard Gratacos</td>
<td>8th May 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Elizabeth 2 Hospital, Glasgow</td>
<td>Marianne Ledingham</td>
<td>21st May 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study set up well advanced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Jubilee Hospital, Belfast</td>
<td>Carolyn Bailie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newham (Barts)</td>
<td>Ferha Saeed/Luxmimalar Velauther</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford University Hospitals</td>
<td>Lawrence Impey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rosie, Cambridge</td>
<td>Kasia Gajewska-Knapik</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle</td>
<td>Paul Ayuk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KU Leuven, Belgium</td>
<td>Liesbeth Lewi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study set up in initial phases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whipps Cross (Barts)</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frimley Park</td>
<td>Anne Deans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunderland</td>
<td>Kim Hinshaw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Cook (South Tees)</td>
<td>Vedrana Caric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwick Park</td>
<td>Hiran Samirage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liverpool Women's</td>
<td>Zarko Alfrevic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>